

E L E M E N T S

O F



FIELD FORTIFICATION.

BY LEWIS LOCHÉE,

MASTER OF THE R. M. ACADEMY,

LITTLE CHELSEA.

BRADY

1864

1864

L O N D O N :

PRINTED FOR THE AUTHOR;

AND SOLD BY T. CADELL IN THE STRAND; AND T. EGERTON,
(SUCCESSOR TO MR. MILAN) CHARING-CROSS.

M DCC LXXXIII.

1783.

8 ELEMENTS OF

by their own fire, especially when behind low and narrow parapets, as to be forced to abandon their works.

SECTION III.

Description of the several Parts.

FIG. 1 and 2.† PLATE I.

Parapet.	Fraise.
Banquette.	Cheveaux-de-Frise,
Berne.	Trous-de-loup,
Ditch.	Abbatis.
Glacis.	Fougasses.
Second Ditch,	Inundations,
Pallisade.	

Of the Parapet and Banquette.

The parapet is the bank of earth surrounding the post to be defended, and serves to cover the troops and artillery employed for it's defence. The dimensions of the parapet vary according to the nature of the ground, and the purposes for which it is constructed: it's breadth at the top, is from 2 to 18 feet; and it's height within, is from 4 to 10 feet.

† Fig. 1 and 2, Plate I. are referred to throughout this section, unless expressed to the contrary.

FIELD FORTIFICATION. 9

Parapets of great elevation, give great command, are less exposed to surprizes, and afford greater shelter to the men within. Parapets of little elevation, are less exposed to the enemy's cannon, and the fire from behind them is more *razant* *, that is, more *grazing*: Mr. Vauban considered 6 feet as the most advantageous elevation, and fixed the greater at $7\frac{1}{2}$ feet. The thickness of the parapet varies much more than the height: when 2 feet broad at the top, it is capable only of resisting musket shot; but when 18 feet, it is capable of resisting the shot of the larger cannon. Experience proves, that when the parapet is 3 or 4 feet broad at the top, it can resist a three-pounder; when 4 or 5 feet, a six-pounder; and when 7 feet, a twelve-pounder: consequently, when works are much exposed to cannon, the security will increase in proportion to the greater thickness of the parapet; but when they are only exposed to the attack of *sword in hand*, the security will increase in proportion to the greater elevation of the parapet.

R E M A R K.

Parapets of great thickness and much elevation, that is, such as have large profiles, will generally be found most advantageous; not only because they afford security against all kinds of attack, but because it is known that soldiers measure, by their eye, the obstacles which an enemy has to surmount to come at them; and that their confidence is the greater, in proportion as the dimensions of the works they are to defend are larger.

* There are two sorts of fire, distinguished by the names of *razant* or *grazing*, and of *sicant* or *plunging*: in the *razant* or *grazing* fire, the direction of the shot is parallel to the horizon, and consequently destroys every thing within its range; and in the *sicant* or *plunging* fire, the shot being fired from a higher to a lower place, can only destroy what it meets near the point where it falls.

a file two deep is allowed: when cannon is used, 4 or 5 yards are allowed for every piece.

For the purpose of discovering the enemy in his approach, and of more effectually levelling at him, the top of the parapet is made sloping towards the country. This slope is by some called *superior talus*, and by others *plunge*; and it's direction is deemed the more advantageous, in proportion as it meets the horizon sooner: in general it meets the counterscarp.

Some engineers never allow this slope or plunge to have more than 2 inches for every foot in the height of the parapet, for fear of weakening too much the upper part of the parapet: thus, supposing the elevation of the parapet at AB, fig. 3, plate I. to be 6 feet, and that it has 6 feet also for thickness, the shot fired from behind such a parapet with such a superior talus, will meet the ground at C, which is distant 12 yards from B, the spot the fire comes from. This proves, that at the exterior foot of every parapet, there is a space, as CD, which cannot be defended by it; and this space will evidently be the greater in proportion to the less slope of the superior talus, and the greater height of the parapet.

From whence it follows, that in works which have no flanks, an enemy once passed the point where

where the direction of the superior talus and the horizon meet, will be less exposed as he approaches the parapet. This defect is an insuperable objection to the use of works that have no flanks.

In proportion as the superior talus has the greater or less slope, so the shelter against the direct fire will be the greater or less: the disadvantages of the superior talus having much slope, are, that it weakens the upper part of the parapet, and that the fire is more plunging. Mr. Vauban, by adding the glacis, not only diminished the shelter against the direct fire, but obtained a more grazing fire.

The height of the parapet over the banquette not being sufficient to cover the men in the act of firing, it is usual for their security against the small arms of the enemy*, to raise the interior part of the parapet with *sacs a terre*, or sacks made of strong and coarse cloth filled with earth. They are, in general, 2 feet in length, and 6 or 8 inches in diameter; and are placed in two rows one above another, with an interval between each in the lower row, sufficient to receive the muzzle of the musket: (see fig. 4, plate I.) this practice, though of considerable security against musketry, will be of no service against cannon, and in that case must be rather detrimental.

* This precaution might also serve to prevent the injuries to which flanked parts are liable, when the re-entrance angle is not sufficiently obtuse.

14 ELEMENTS OF

Formerly, the interior part of the parapet was often raised with small gabions filled with earth, somewhat more than 1 foot in height, and in diameter 12 or 14 inches at the top, and only 9 or 11 inches at the bottom, fig. 5, Plate I. There is another sort of gabion made use of to construct batteries, much larger and higher, and of a cylindrical form. A gabion is a basket open at both ends.

That the cannon may fire upon the enemy, it is usual to cut openings in the parapet, called *embrasures*. The dimensions of the embrasure depend, not only upon the nature of the soil, and the height and thickness of the parapet, but also on the caliber of the piece, the height of the wheels, and the construction of the carriage.

The embrasure is cut sloping towards the country, and within 3 feet of the horizon. The breadth of the embrasure within, is no more than is absolutely necessary to receive the cannon; but that without, is from 7 to 9 feet. The confined breadth within, is given to keep under cover, as much as possible, both the piece and the men who serve it; and the extended breadth without, is given to obtain a wider view of the enemy in his approach.

The distance between two embrasures is from 12 to 18 feet from center to center, that that part of the parapet which separates them, and is called *merlon*, may be sufficient to resist the enemy's cannon.

FIELD FORTIFICATION. 15

[In plans drawn upon a small scale, embrasures are represented by isosceles triangles, set off the distance from center to center between the embrasures, whose bases turn towards the country. See 2, fig. 1, 2, plate II.]

Cannon is sometimes used without the help of embrasures, that is, the cannon is made to fire *en barbette*:* this, however, cannot be done, unless the carriage be so raised, as that the muzzle of the cannon shall be upon the superior talus.

Because the difficulty of forcing a parapet is the greater, as the exterior slope of it is the less; and because the best and most adhesive earth cannot long support itself at a height of 3 or 4 feet, unless formed with a slope of very gradual ascent; it is usual to force it to stand with a small slope, by lining it with *sods, fascines, hurdles*, &c. The exterior slope of the parapet is called *scarp*, and is in general about the $\frac{1}{2}$ part of the height.

The interior part of the parapet is also lined, and even with more precaution than the scarp, with a view to obtain little slope, which is absolutely necessary to enable the men within to stand and fire with ease: this slope, which, to be ad-

* So named by the French, because the ball in its flight shaves the superior talus of the parapet.

vantageous,

vantageous, should be only about 1 foot, cannot, however, be less than 1 foot and $\frac{1}{2}$, when lined either with fods or fascines.

Of the Berme.

To prevent the earth of the parapet rolling into the ditch, a space is generally left between the parapet and ditch, called *berme*. The breadth of it depends upon the nature of the ground, the dimensions of the parapet, and the length of time for which the work is intended to remain.

Many consider the berme to be advantageous to the enemy, supposing it rather to facilitate than retard the operation of forcing the parapet. This it certainly does, when the parapet has but little height and thickness; for the enemy once placed upon the berme, is upon an equal if not a superior footing to the men within †. It is observable, however, that if there was no berme, a greater slope would be requisite, not only for the scarp of the parapet, but also for that of the ditch.

† To supply this defect, M. De Saxe recommends the parapet to be of such a height as that its scarp may have about 6 feet.

Of the Ditch.

The trench dug up at the exterior foot of the parapet, is called *fossé* or *ditch*. At the same time that it serves to furnish the earth necessary for raising the parapet and banquette, it contributes to increase the difficulty of approach, which is deemed the greater, in proportion to the greater breadth and depth of the ditch. The dimensions of it depend, however, not on choice, but on the dimensions of the parapet and banquette: its depth should, if possible, never be less than 6 feet.

The slope nearest to the parapet, is called *scarp*; and that opposite to the parapet, is called *counterscarp*. The difficulty of the passage of the ditch, is greater, in proportion to the less slope both of the scarp and counterscarp; but more particularly that of the scarp, and especially when the ditch is of such a breadth, as to force the enemy to leap into it to pass it.

It is observable, that the ditch is generally cut or rounded off at the salient angle of the counterscarp, to prevent its being in that part easier of descent.

To determine the slope of earth, is, if not impossible, at least exceedingly difficult; for it depends not only on the nature of the soil, which

is very various, but also on the height of the slope: when common earth is used, the base of the slope is generally recommended to be equal to the height; and when clay or loam is used, the base is taken equal to $\frac{2}{3}$ of the height.

That the direction of the slope of earth depends, not only on the nature of the soil, but also on the height of the slope, though of much consequence, is however not generally understood.

If we suppose the particles of earth to have no adherence to each other, then the slope of earth must, like that of grain or sand, have the same direction in every elevation: but, on the contrary, it is known, that the particles of earth are adhesive; and, consequently, the earth will never require so much slope as it would do if destitute of adherence. Experience, indeed, proves, that earth supports itself for a while even without a slope, to a certain height, which, though but small, is greater or less, according to the greater or less adherence of the particles, that is, according to the nature of the soil.

Now, if we suppose the tendency of disunion (when destitute of adherence) to be in the direction of *ab*, fig. 3, plate I. and that the adherence of the triangle *abc*, is barely competent to support the earth; if the ditch is made twice as deep, it is evident, that the adherence of the triangle *cde* will be only double the adherence of the triangle *abc*, and that the weight of the triangle *cde* will be quadruple that of *abc*. If the ditch is made three times as deep, it is evident, that whilst the adherence of the triangle *efg* is only treble that of *abc*, the weight of it will be nine times that of *abc*; for figures are to each other in a duplicate ratio.

From whence we must necessarily conclude, that if in the first instance the adherence is barely competent to support the earth, it cannot be so in the second, and much less so in the third; that is, as is said above, the direction of the slope of earth depends not only on the nature of the soil, but also on the height of the slope; and, consequently, the slopes of the ditch must be greater, both according to the nature of the soil, and in proportion to the greater depth of the ditch.

From this it follows also, that the scarp of the ditch, when the parapet is to be raised immediately on the border of the ditch, must have a greater slope than when there is a berme; and further, that this scarp must have a greater slope, in proportion to the greater height of the parapet, and the less breadth of the berme. This proves, that the omission of the berme will likely be productive of greater inconveniencies than the use of it.

Of the Glacis.

Because the difficulty of the passage of the ditch increases according to its greater breadth and depth; many recommend raising the exterior borders of the ditch, one, two, or more feet above the horizon, in the form ABC: the direction of AB, is the continuation of that part of the exterior slope of the ditch below the horizon; and the direction BC, is generally the same with that of the superior talus of the parapet. It is because the slope BC is so great, that this work is called *glacis*.

The glacis, which is seldom added for the defence of very small works, besides increasing the breadth and depth of the ditch, and diminishing the shelter against the direct fire, is particularly advantageous to works much exposed to cannon; for it singularly secures the parapet; and the enemy is also singularly exposed to the fire from behind the parapet, during his whole ascent upon the glacis, and more especially so at the instant when he endeavours to leap over or into the ditch.

REMARK.

This work was in much esteem with Mr. Vauban, who introduced it to obtain a more grazing fire, and to diminish the shelter against the direct fire which every parapet necessarily affords, and that in proportion as it has the more height and the less slope.

Of the advanced or second Ditch.

To increase the difficulty of approach, some recommend a second ditch, which is in general so constructed as that its bottom may be in the prolongation of the glacis; that is, its greatest depth is at the exterior side: hence the profile of it is a triangle.

Of Palisades and Fraizes.

As a security against surprizes, and to increase the defence, field works are sometimes either *palisaded* or *fraized*, and sometimes both.

Of Palisades.

Palisades are stakes of strong split wood, of about 7 or 8 inches broad, 3 or 4 inches thick, and 8 or 9 feet long, of which 3 or 4 feet are sunk into the earth. They are pointed both at the top and bottom; and that they may be of greater strength, they are fastened to a horizontal rail* within two feet from the top, and are generally placed so close to each other, as only to admit the muzzle of a piece between them. Their greatest distance from each other is never so great as to afford room enough to creep through them.

Palisades are planted either *vertically* or *obliquely*: the oblique position is generally deemed the most advantageous, because the palisade cannot

* When some of the palisades are only of sufficient length to allow 3 or 4 feet to be sunk into the earth, to strengthen the whole range, it will be necessary to fasten them to two horizontal rails, one at the top and one at the bottom. The rail or rails are always opposite to the side of the enemy.

so easily be cut at the bottom, nor torn up with ropes. The position, however, depends more upon circumstances than choice.

Palisades fixed at a certain distance from the parapet, as at Y, to obtain the additional security against *hand grenades**, should be planted obliquely, so as to form an angle of 45 degrees with the country towards the enemy: this position will greatly increase the difficulty of cutting them, or tearing them up with ropes, if not render it impossible; they will be the least exposed to the enemy's cannon; and the difficulty of passing over them will not be less than if they were upright, unless many fascines were at hand to place under them. The distance at which hand-grenades can be thrown, is from 25 to 30 yards.

But this practice of fixing palisades is not generally approved, not only because the palisades, notwithstanding their oblique position, are still much exposed to the enemy's cannon; but because, when once they are forced, the enemy is at full liberty to advance in strength, descend into the ditch, and attack the parapet in

* The hand-grenade, which is a hollow ball or shell, generally of iron, but sometimes of tin, and *papier maché* of about $2\frac{1}{2}$ inches in diameter; was first used in 1594, at the siege of Wachtendonck, a town near Cuiçlers. It is filled with very fine powder, and set on fire by means of a small fuze driven into the fuze hole.

whatever

whatever part he likes best, which is singularly advantageous to him, especially in works that have no flanks.

Palisades fixed in the ditch just at the lower extremity of the counterscarp, as at Z, should be planted upright; for the difficulty of cutting them will be as great in this instance, as when planted obliquely, and that of passing over them much greater.

Palisades fixed in the ditch as at X, fig. 3, plate I. should be planted upright also. In this instance, however, they can be more easily cut than those planted at Y or Z.

Palisades fixed at the lower extremity of the scarp, as at W, fig. 6, plate I. generally form an angle of 60 degrees with the ground towards the enemy.

Palisades fixed upon the berme, are generally planted obliquely: in this situation, however great their obliquity may be, unless the berme be much lower or the glacis much raised, the palisades must be much exposed to the enemy's cannon.

[In plans, palisades are represented by dots or points.]

REMARK.

The generality of engineers are for fixing the palisades into the ditch, in preference to any other

other place, not only because they are not exposed to the enemy's cannon, but because it surprises and obliges the enemy to stop at the very instant that he is most exposed to the fire from behind the parapet. The top of the palisades, whether planted upright or obliquely, should never rise above the exterior border of the ditch.

Palisades planted in the ditch, may, however, serve as props to receive hurdles, over which the passage of the ditch may be easily made.

Of Fraizes.

Palisades fixed in the parapet, are called *fraizes*. When the stakes are 9 feet long, 4 feet lie within the body of the parapet, and the remainder leans over the berm, rather inclining a little towards the ditch: this inclination is given to prevent grenades, &c. lodging upon them.

To strengthen the fraize, the stakes are fastened to two sleepers, one of which lies upon the level ground, in the direction of the exterior part of the parapet; and the other, which is generally a stronger rail, lies within the body of the parapet, and distant from the first rail 3 feet: the stakes are sufficiently close to each other, when they

they do not afford room enough to creep through them.

The fraize, especially when not exposed to the enemy's cannon, is a great security to the parapet, as there is no forcing them but by cutting them, which cannot be easily done, even when the works have no flanks*, considering the position of the stakes, and the destruction which hand-grenades thrown from behind the parapet must necessarily make among the men employed in such an operation.

R E M A R K.

The use of palisades planted vertically, obliquely, and horizontally, to fortify the avenues of open forts, the bottom of ditches, parapets, &c. was antient even in antient times. It must, however, be confessed, that their construction requires much time and attention, and is very expensive unless in countries abounding with wood.

Of Cheveaux de Frize.

Cheveaux de Frize are so called by the French, from being first made use of in 1658, at the siege

* The fraize in the faces of works which have flanks, are apt to cover the men against the fire of the flanks.

of Groningen, a town of Friesland, to secure the avenues of the camp against the inroads of cavalry.

It consists of a piece of timber 10 or 12 feet long, and is either round, square, or cut into several more faces, through which a great many wooden pins are driven, of about 1 inch and $\frac{1}{2}$ in diameter, and 6 feet long, pointed at both ends, and often armed with iron \dagger .

The most common use of the cheveaux de frize is to shut up and secure the entrance of the several works; but, like the palisade, it is deemed of most service when employed for the defence of the ditch, in which case cheveaux de frize are placed at the bottom of the ditch in a row, and fastened together with chains or cramp irons. (See B, fig. 8, plate I.)

[In plans, chevaux de frize are represented by a line expressing their length, through which lines cross each other, forming angles of 60 degrees each.]

\dagger The Russians under Munich, and the Germans in their several wars against the Turks, were much indebted to the use of cheveaux de frize, for their security against the Turkish cavalry. The cheveaux de frize, during the latter campaigns of the Germans against the Turks, is said to have consisted only of a wooden pole of about 1 inch and $\frac{1}{2}$ in diameter, and 9 feet long, pointed and armed with iron at both ends. When required, it was sunk obliquely about 3 feet in the earth. At other times, it may serve for tent poles. What is become of this practice?

REMARK.

The practice of placing cheveaux de frize at the bottom of the ditch, was in much esteem during the wars in Germany of 1745 and 1756: M. De Saxe is for placing them on the berme.

Cheveaux de frize placed at the bottom of the ditch may, however, serve as a prop to receive hurdles, over which the enemy may cross the ditch.

Of Trous-de-loup.

Trous-de-loup are pitfalls in the form of an inverted cone, about 6 feet in diameter, and at least as much in depth, with one or more pointed stakes fixed at the bottom *. They serve to increase the difficulty of approach, and are mostly dug at some distance from the works, and sometimes also at the bottom of the ditch. See fig. 1 and 7, plate I.

When trous-de-loup are dug without the ditch, they are generally in 3 rows, chequer wise, that is, two of the rows are quite opposite to each other, and the third in the middle covers the interval of the other two. The distance between the first row and the ditch is about 5 or 6 paces,

* *Crow-feet* may be used in the place of stakes: crow-feet are four pointed irons, so made that whatever way they fall, one point is always uppermost. They are from 4 to 8 inches long. and

and the earth dug out is spread loose about them, and is sometimes employed to raise the glacis.

When dug in the ditch they generally form but a single row. They are deemed advantageous only in proportion to their greater depth, and their closeness to each other.

R E M A R K.

These pitfalls, in the form of their construction, have some resemblance to the wolf-trap, from which they take their name; and, like that also, are lightly covered with large twigs, brambles, briars, and loose earth, to conceal the place of their situation, and produce in the enemy all the terror and dismay that sudden plunges into danger never fail to excite.

Of the Abbatis.

An *Abbatis* is a defence raised before a redout, or other field work, to impede the enemy's approach. It consists of hewn trees with the points of their branches turned towards the enemy; and to increase the danger and difficulty of forcing it, the trees are not only placed close to each other, but the branches are stript of their leaves and twigs, sharpened at the extremities, and interwoven one in another.

To prevent the enemy from forcing them asunder to open himself a passage, the trunks of

the trees are generally sunk 3 or 4 feet into the earth*; and the principal branches that lie on the ground are fastened down by stakes: trees of the middle size, and especially fruit trees, are deemed most proper for forming an abbatis. When the trees in the neighbourhood happen to be very large, the larger branches will answer the purpose full as well as the trunks.

Fig. 1, plate I. represents the plan of an abbatis, *without expressing the earth dug out of the trench to receive the trunks.*

Fig. 8, plate I. represents its profile.

R E M A R K.

The abbatis is a defence universally known, and was probably one of the first in use, being mentioned by Herodotus, Thucydides, Xenophon, Polybius, and other ancient writers.

Mr. Folard considers the abbatis not only as the most formidable obstacle to the approach of an enemy towards any work, but deems it of

* To receive the trunks, a trench is dug, in which the greater depth is towards the work; the profile of it is a triangle. The earth dug up is thrown towards the work; and when the trees are placed, it is generally made use of to fill the intervals between the trunks, and is also thrown over them in order to increase their solidity.

itself a sufficient fortification to secure a pass or post. The earth taken out of the trench, if properly employed, may serve to cover the men.

Of Fougasses.

Mines that have for line of least resistance* less than 10 feet, are called *fougasses*. They are of the greatest utility for the defence of the salient angles and faces of works that have no flanks, and they require but little time for their construction.

Mr. Santa Cruz considers fougasses to be as great an obstacle as any that can be made use of to impede approach, on account of the dread with which their effects are known to impress the mind. Nothing disconcerts and depresses men more, than to encounter danger against which they think neither their dexterity nor courage can afford them any security.

Of Inundations.

Among the several obstacles to impede approach, that obtained by water is far from being deemed the least advantageous: inundations, however, require much time and many precautions.

* *The line of least resistance* is the line drawn from the center of the place where the powder is lodged, perpendicular to the nearest surface of the ground.

REMARK.

The use of palisades, trous-de-loup, fougasses, and other obstacles invented to impede approach, depend much upon the nature of the attack to which a work is exposed. The two methods of attacking field works are with cannon, and with *sword in hand*: when exposed to the attack of cannon, the security of the post will depend much on the greater thickness of the parapet; and when exposed to the attack with *sword in hand*, the security will depend on the greater breadth and depth of the ditch, and the application of one or more of the invented obstacles above mentioned.